

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,862	09/29/2005	Chung Ho Lam	37388-405100	3976
27717 SEYFARTH S	7590 10/05/2010 SHAWIIP	EXAMINER		
131 S. DEARBORN ST., SUITE 2400			PHASGE, ARUN S	
CHICAGO, II	. 60603-5803		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1724		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/531,862	LAM, CHUNG HO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Arun S. Phasge	1795	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov Period for Reply	er sheet with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EX WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(g). In no event, he after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	COMMUNICATION. wever, may a reply be timely filed
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will exply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maiting date of this commun earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	n to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2010.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-fi	nal.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle	
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-29 is/are withdrawn from consider	eration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election require	ement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ o	bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be he	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the	ne attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3 a) All b) Some * c) None of:	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been re- 	ceived.
Certified copies of the priority documents have been re-	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17 	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified	copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO/S2/00)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application
	Other:

971	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
	stent and Trademark Office L-326 (Rev. 08-06)	

Application/Control Number: 10/531,862 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Carey in view of Khudenko of record for reasons of record.

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Carey in view of Khudenko as applied to claims above, and further in view of

Chorzempa of record for reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/12/10 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicant appears to be arguing that the Khudenko patent is non-analogous art.

Art Unit: 1795

Applicant states that the Khudenko patent is directed to a method by "spontaneous electrochemical reduction", whereas the present invention uses electrolysis processes.

The section cited in the prior action teaches that the reaction is not spontaneous, indeed the patent states that cementation is "induced by applying high current densities in electrolytic baths" (col. 11, lines 27-32). How is this spontaneous? Further when the patent recites the use of electrolytic baths, such as plating, how is this spontaneous? (col. 11, lines 32-36). The shapes of the electrodes further is said to promote the above induction, includes shapes recited in the Carey patent.

Accordingly, the claims stand rejected.

In any event, while Section 103 requires us to presume full knowledge by the inventor of the prior art in the field of his endeavor (emphasis, expect of "prior," added), but it does not require us to presume full knowledge by the inventor of prior art outside the field of his endeavor, i.e. of "non-analogous" art. In that respect, it only requires us to presume that the inventor would have that ability to select and utilize knowledge from other arts reasonably pertinent to his particular problem which would be expected of a man of ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. In re Antle, 170 U.S.P.Q. 285.

Art Unit: 1795

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,862 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Arun S. Phasge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

asp